IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

JAMES ALBERT SMITH,)
Plaintiff,))
vs.) Case No.: 3:18-CV-05111-SRB
JOHN DOE 1-7, et al.,)
Defendants.)

JOINT PROPOSED SCHEDULING ORDER AND DISCOVERY PLAN

COMES NOW Plaintiff and Defendants, by and through their undersigned counsel of record, and propose the following Scheduling Order and Discovery Plan.

- 1. On April 16, 2019, information was exchanged between Michael S. Foster (counsel for Plaintiff) and David S. Baker (counsel for Defendants). As a result, the parties have agreed, subject to the approval of the Court, to the following Joint Proposed Scheduling Order and Discovery Plan.
- 2. By July 11, 2019, the parties shall provide each other with the information required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1).
- 3. The parties have agreed and recommend to the Court the following proposed Rule 16 Scheduling Order:
 - a. The proposed closing date for the joinder of parties shall be September 3, 2019. This date has been proposed because it will allow the parties sufficient time to engage in preliminary discovery to determine whether the addition of other parties is appropriate.
 - b. Any motion to amend the pleadings to add claims shall be filed on or before September 3, 2019. This date has been proposed because it will allow the parties sufficient

{00348114}

time to engage in preliminary discovery to determine whether additional claims are appropriate.

- c. The parties propose all discovery motions be filed on or before January 2, 2020.
- d. The parties propose dispositive motions be filed no later than February 3, 2020.
- e. All other pretrial motions shall be filed no later than 15 days prior to the proposed date the case is set for trial by the Court.
- f. The parties propose all pretrial discovery be completed on or before January 2, 2020.
- 4. The parties have agreed and recommend to the Court the following proposed scheduling regarding identification and depositions of expert witnesses:
 - a. The parties propose Plaintiffs identify their expert witnesses and provide the information required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 on or before September 3, 2019.
 - b. The parties propose Defendant identify his expert witnesses and provide the information required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 on or before October 15, 2019.
- 5. The parties do not presently know whether any discovery with regard to electronically stored information will be necessary or appropriate.
 - 6. The parties anticipate this case will take at least five (5) trial days.
- 7. The parties propose it would be appropriate to set this case for trial at some point after June 1, 2020.
- 8. The parties anticipate it may be appropriate to seek issuance of a Protective Order with regard to anticipated discovery in the areas of personnel files, training records, internal

2

policies and procedures of law enforcement agencies, trade secrets, and medical records. In accordance with Local Rule 16.1(f)(7), any party who anticipates requesting a protective order shall serve on every other party a proposed protective order and proposed stipulation for its entry before it is submitted to the Court for consideration.

9. The parties have demanded a trial by jury.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Michael S. Foster

Michael S. Foster
Foster Wallace LLC
1501 Westport Road
Kansas City, MO 64111
(816) 249-2101; (816) 249-2170
Michael@fosterwallace.com

/s/ David S. Baker

Attorneys for Plaintiff

David S. Baker

#30347

#61205

Fisher, Patterson, Sayler & Smith, LLP 9229 Ward Parkway, Suite 370 Kansas City, MO 64114

816-523-4667, Ext. 121; Fax: 816-523-5667

dbaker@fisherpatterson.com

Attorneys for Defendants Barry County, Davis, House, Taylor and Gallahue

{00348114}